

## Manuscript peer review form

### *Archives of Photogrammetry, Cartography and Remote Sensing (APCRS)*

Title and a full name of the reviewer:

Manuscript title:

Manuscript author(s):

#### Reviewer instructions:

1. Your personal identity will not be revealed to the authors.
2. Only original contribution, not published or currently under review elsewhere, will be considered for publication, if their quality and content meet the requirements of APCRS.
3. Types of manuscripts considered for APCRS are (1) original research/technical paper, (2) monograph.
4. Use scale of 0 ÷ 5 for each item listed in the review form.
5. Any suggested changes or corrections should be marked directly in the manuscript using red font, or can be listed in part 2 of the review.
6. In order to maintain high quality of the APCRS, only the manuscripts that received a score of 20 or more total points should be considered for publication.
7. Please send the annotated manuscript and your review to APCRS Editorial Office address
8. The editor will communicate with the author(s) regarding the outcomes of the review process.

#### 1. Review form

<b>Evaluation criteria of scientific value for the manuscript</b>	<b>Score (max 20)</b>
Relevance of the subject of Photogrammetry, Cartography, Remote Sensing and GIS	
Originality/innovation of the presented material/findings	
<b>Re: original research and technical publications</b>	
Relevance and quality of scientific methods and approaches	
Scientific significance of the results/findings	
<b>Re: monographs</b>	
Completeness, relevance, quality and importance of references used	
Quality of presentation: competence, consistency, relevance, quality and originality of authors own synthesis and summary/conclusions, relevance and connection to other related research or scientific problems, timeliness of the problem discussed.	
<b>Evaluation criteria of linguistic quality and editorial value of the manuscript</b>	<b>Score (max 10)</b>
Linguistic and stylistic level of the paper	
Editorial quality of the text	
<b>Total (max 30 points)</b>	

**2. Suggested changes and corrections, general comments:**

**3. Incorrect or imprecise technical terminology:**

**4. Final assessment of the manuscript submitted to *Archives of Photogrammetry, Cartography and Remote Sensing*:**

The total score for this manuscript is ..... points (scale of 0 ÷ 30) and the following recommendation is suggested:

- Accept as is (Yes/No?).....

This covers the case when the reviewer corrects minor inconsistencies and provides some minor suggestions directly in the manuscript, which do not need further discussion with the author.

- Accept with corrections (Yes?).....

Reviewer's comments require consideration by the author(s), assuming that this can be completed in a relatively short time. Reviewer is expected to list all relevant suggestions and corrections that he/she expects the author(s) to consider in the revised manuscript. Reviewer has to indicate one of the following choices:

- Will review the corrected manuscript (Yes?).....
- There is no need for re-review after corrections (Yes?).....

- Decline (Yes?).....

The reviewer's comments disqualify the paper in its current form, and only a major revision may still qualify the manuscript for the APCRS. The author(s) may be encouraged to resubmit after major revisions. This category includes manuscripts that are outside the scope of APCRS.

Date:

Reviewer's signature: